UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,609	06/03/2005	Norihiko Nakahara	07409.0043	4952
	7590 12/16/200 ENDERSON, FARAB	8 SOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAMINER	
LLP			HUNTER, ALVIN A	
	901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/537,609	NAKAHARA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALVIN A. HUNTER	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 for 2a) This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 7 and 9-11 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7, 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacKay, Jr. et al. (USPN 5904628) in view of Satoh (USPN 6287214) and Okada (JP 2002-17909).

Regarding claim 7, MacKay, Jr. discloses a club head comprising an outer shell member, a hollow portion surrounded by the outer shell member, and a columnar neck member wherein the inner surface of the hollow portion includes a concave surface having no projecting portion formed thereon. MacKay, Jr. does not disclose the columnar neck having an insertion hole for that shaft that is closed at a hollow portion side. Satoh discloses that it is conventional to construct a columnar neck being closed at the hollow portion (See Figure 6 and Background of the invention). Further, Okada shows a club head wherein the inner surface of the shaft insert hole is closed and forms a continuous surface concave surface with the entire inner surface of the club head. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have the insertion hole of the columnar neck closed at the hollow portion, as taught by Satoh and shown by Okada, because it is common within the art.

Regarding claim 9, MacKay, Jr. discloses a club head comprising an outer shell member, a hollow portion surrounded by the outer shell member, and a columnar neck member wherein the inner surface of the hollow portion includes a concave surface having no projecting portion formed thereon. MacKay, Jr. does not disclose the columnar neck having an insertion hole for that shaft that is closed at a hollow portion side. Satoh discloses that it is conventional to construct a columnar neck being closed at the hollow portion (See Figure 6 and Background of the invention).). Further, Okada shows a club head wherein the inner surface of the shaft insert hole is closed and forms a continuous surface concave surface with the entire inner surface of the club head. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have the insertion hole of the columnar neck closed at the hollow portion, as taught by Satoh and shown by Okada, because it is common within the art. MacKay notes that a shaft having a grip is attached to the club head.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, the limitations are directed to a product by process.

Because the final product is the same, it is submitted that MacKay, Jr. et al. meets theses limitations.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 and 9-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kumamoto (JP 2004-329676) shows a club head having a shaft insertion hole closed at the inner surface of the club head.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,609 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN A. HUNTER whose telephone number is (571)272-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim, can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Alvin A Hunter/

Examiner, Art Unit 3711